

NSC review completed.

SECRET

VIA LDX

SYSTEM II 91134

SECRET/SENSITIVE

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506

September 28, 1983

Executive Registry

83-4726/1

Copy#3

MEMORANDUM FOR

Mr. Donald P. Gregg Assistant to the Vice President for National Security Affairs

Mr. Charles Hill Executive Secretary Department of State

Colonel (P) John H. Stanford Executive Secretary Department of Defense

Dr. Alton Keel
Associate Director for National Security
and International Affairs
Office of Management and Budget

Executive Secretary
Central Intelligence Agency

Ms. Jacqueline Tillman
Executive Assistant to the
United States
Representative to the United
Nations

Brig Gen George A. Joulwan
Executive Assistant to the
Chairman, Joint Chiefs of
Staff
The Pentagon

Mr. William B. Staples Executive Secretary Arms Control and Disarmament Agency

Ambassador Edward Rowny 25X Chief Negotiator Arms Control and Disarmament Agency

SUBJECT: NSPG Meeting on START -- Thursday, September 29, 1983 (S)

Attached at Tab A is a paper on potential modifications to the US START position. It was prepared by the START Interagency Group for discussion at the National Security Planning Group meeting to be held in the White House Situation Room on Thursday, September 29, 1983 from 2:00-3:00 p.m. Also provided at Tab A is an agenda for the NSPG meeting itself. Tab B is a pape intended to provide additional background on the first of the substantive issues to be discussed, SLCM limits. (S)

Attendance at the meeting is principals only. (U)

Robert M. Kimmitt Executive Secretary

Attachments as Noted

SECRET/SENSITIVE



SECRET

SECRET

AGENDA FOR NSPG MEETING ON START

- A. Introduction -- Judge Clark
- B. Discussion of Potential Modifications to the US START position for Round V
 -- All Principals

Discussion of the potential modifications to the US START position as presented in the Interagency Group paper on the same subject. Discussion should focus on the following questions:

- 1. Should the US at this time indicate a position on limiting SLCMs in START?
- 2. Should the US modify or eliminate the current proposed 2,500 sub-limit on ICBM warheads?
- 3. Should the US be willing to explore further limits on the size of ALCM forces in the context of reciprocal Soviet flexibility?
- 4. Is there agreement with the Interagency Group recommendations that the US should:
 - (1) propose a ban on ALCMs with multiple nuclear warheads; and
 - (2) begin exploratory discussions of procedures for the destruction or dismantling of systems?
- C. Closing Remarks -- Judge Clark

<u>SECRET</u> Declassify on: OADR

